

LECTURE 2

FUNDAMENTALS OF QUANTUM COMPUTING AND QUANTUM INFORMATION

Quantum computer science and applications

Thomas Debris-Alazard

Inria, École Polytechnique

To define more rigorously and deeply what we have seen during Lecture 1

→ In particular the concept of **measurement!**

1. Basics of linear algebra: spectral decomposition of normal operators, function operators, etc. . .
2. Postulates of quantum mechanics:
 - State space (Hilbert space)
 - Evolution (unitary operators)
 - Measurement (general description, projective measurements, POVM)
 - Composite systems (tensor products)
3. Applications: teleportation and its dual superdense coding

LINEAR ALGEBRA: SOME NOTATION

You have to be familiar with:

linear spaces, linear operators, basis, dimension, scalar product over Hilbert-spaces

→ We will always work in **finite** dimension

In particular: linear operator \iff matrix

The vector space of most interest to us is \mathbb{C}^N

- ▶ Given $z \in \mathbb{C}$, \bar{z} denotes its conjugate. For instance $\overline{1+i} = 1-i$
- ▶ Given \mathbf{A} linear operator (*i.e.* a matrix), $\mathbf{A}^\dagger = (\bar{\mathbf{A}})^\top$ denotes its Hermitian conjugate. For instance $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{c} \\ \bar{b} & \bar{d} \end{pmatrix}$

The vector space of most interest to us is \mathbb{C}^N

Dirac Notation:

- **Ket:** $|\psi\rangle$ denotes an element of \mathbb{C}^N , i.e. $|\psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_N \end{pmatrix}$ where the α_i 's are complex

Convention: for any **A** linear operator **A** $|\psi\rangle$ denotes **A** $(|\psi\rangle)$

- **Bra:** $\langle\psi|$ denotes its conjugate transpose, i.e. $\langle\psi| = \left(|\psi\rangle\right)^\dagger = (\overline{\alpha_1} \quad \overline{\alpha_2} \quad \dots \quad \overline{\alpha_N})$

Convention: for any linear operator $\langle\psi| **A** † denotes $\left(\mathbf{A}|\psi\rangle\right)^\dagger$$

- **Scalar product:** $\langle\psi|\varphi\rangle$ scalar-product between $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\varphi\rangle$ is matrix multiplication $\langle\psi| \cdot |\varphi\rangle$
- **Inner product and linear operator:** $\langle\psi| **A** $|\varphi\rangle$ inner product between $|\psi\rangle$ and **A** $|\varphi\rangle$$
- **Ket-bra:** $|\psi\rangle\langle\varphi|$ is the linear rank 1 operator such that $|\psi\rangle\langle\varphi| |\phi\rangle = \langle\varphi|\phi\rangle \cdot |\psi\rangle$

Proposition:

Let $(|i\rangle)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ be some orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^N , then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N |i\rangle\langle i| = \text{Id} \quad (\text{the identity operator})$$

Proof:

Let $|v\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^N$, as $(|i\rangle)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ basis, $|v\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N v_i |i\rangle$ and $v_i = \langle i|v\rangle$, as $(|i\rangle)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ **orthonormal** basis.

Then,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^N |i\rangle\langle i| \right) |v\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N (|i\rangle\langle i| |v\rangle) = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle i|v\rangle |i\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N v_i |i\rangle = |v\rangle$$

When working in \mathbb{C}^2 (the qubits space)

$$|0\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad |1\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^2

→ Don't confuse $|0\rangle$ with $\mathbf{0}$ the zero vector of \mathbb{C}^2 ($\mathbf{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$)

We will often use the following operators (in quantum computing and quantum information)

Pauli matrices:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_0 = I_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \sigma_1 = \sigma_x = X &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \sigma_2 = \sigma_y = Y &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \sigma_3 = \sigma_z = Z &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Exercise:

Show that:

$$I_d = |0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|, \quad X = |1\rangle\langle 0| + |0\rangle\langle 1|, \quad Y = i|1\rangle\langle 0| - i|0\rangle\langle 1| \quad \text{and} \quad Z = |0\rangle\langle 0| - |1\rangle\langle 1|$$

The following operator will be at the core of quantum computing
(some relation to the Quantum Fourier Transform)

Hadamard matrix:

$$\mathbf{H} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ factor is here to ensure that \mathbf{H} is an isometry!

Exercise:

Show that

$$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^\dagger = \mathbf{H}^\dagger\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}^2 = \mathbf{I}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{H} = \frac{(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)\langle 0| + (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)\langle 1|}{\sqrt{2}}$$

SPECTRAL DECOMPOSITION, ...

PARTICULAR CLASSES OF OPERATORS

- ▶ **Hermitian:** A such that $A^\dagger = A$
- ▶ **Positive:** A **Hermitian** such that $\forall |v\rangle \neq 0, \langle v|A|v\rangle \geq 0$ (and > 0 when A **strictly positive**)
- ▶ **Orthogonal projector:** P such that $P^2 = P$ and $\text{Im}(P) \perp \text{Ker}(P)$

Orthogonal projectors \subseteq Hermitian and Strictly Positive \subseteq Positive \subseteq Hermitian

- ▶ **Unitary:** U such that $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = \text{Id}$
- ▶ **Normal:** A such that $A^\dagger A = AA^\dagger$

Hermitian \subseteq Normal and Unitary \subseteq Normal

→ Except some measurements, all the considered operators in this course are **normal!**

Theorem: spectral decomposition of normal operators

Any normal operator A is diagonal with respect to some **orthonormal basis**

Conversely, any diagonalizable operator in an **orthonormal basis** is normal.

In practice:

Let A be a positive, or an Hermitian, or orthogonal projector, or a unitary, or a normal operator.

Then it exists an orthonormal basis $(|i\rangle)_i$ and $(\lambda_i)_i \in \mathbb{C}^N$ such that

$$A = \sum_i \lambda_i |i\rangle\langle i|$$

→ **Extremely useful** in many “theoretical” proofs or to define classes of operators!

Operator functions:

Let \mathbf{A} be a **normal operator** and $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ some function. The operator $f(\mathbf{A})$ is defined as follows:

1. Diagonalize \mathbf{A} in an orthonormal basis: $\mathbf{A} = \sum_i \lambda_i |i\rangle\langle i|$
2. Define $f(\mathbf{A}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_i f(\lambda_i) |i\rangle\langle i|$

Definition possible because **spectral decomposition** normal operators!

(you can also verify that $f(\mathbf{A})$ is uniquely defined)

Operator functions:

Let \mathbf{A} be a **normal operator** and $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ some function. The operator $f(\mathbf{A})$ is defined as follows:

1. Diagonalize \mathbf{A} in an orthonormal basis: $\mathbf{A} = \sum_i \lambda_i |i\rangle\langle i|$
2. Define $f(\mathbf{A}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_i f(\lambda_i) |i\rangle\langle i|$

Definition possible because **spectral decomposition** normal operators!

(you can also verify that $f(\mathbf{A})$ is uniquely defined)

An example:

$$\mathbf{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{then } \exp(\theta\mathbf{Z}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^\theta & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

Exercise:

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{then } \exp(\theta\mathbf{X}) = e^\theta |+\rangle\langle +| + e^{-\theta} |-\rangle\langle -| = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} e^\theta + e^{-\theta} & e^\theta - e^{-\theta} \\ e^\theta - e^{-\theta} & e^\theta + e^{-\theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

Trace:

Given some operator $\mathbf{A} = (A_{ij})_{i,j}$, its trace is defined as the sum of its diagonal elements:

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_j A_{j,j}$$

→ Independent of the choice of bases in which \mathbf{A} is written.

Properties:

1. Cyclicity: $\text{tr}(\mathbf{AB}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{BA})$
2. Linearity: $\mathbf{A} \mapsto \text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$ is linear
3. Decomposition: let $(|i\rangle)$ be an **orthonormal** basis, then $\text{tr}(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_i \langle i | \mathbf{A} | i \rangle$

Trace:

Given some operator $\mathbf{A} = (A_{ij})_{i,j}$, its trace is defined as the sum of its diagonal elements:

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_j A_{j,j}$$

→ Independent of the choice of bases in which \mathbf{A} is written.

Properties:

1. Cyclicity: $\text{tr}(\mathbf{AB}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{BA})$
2. Linearity: $\mathbf{A} \mapsto \text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$ is linear
3. Decomposition: let $(|i\rangle)$ be an **orthonormal** basis, then $\text{tr}(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_i \langle i | \mathbf{A} | i \rangle$

Proof of Item 3:

Write $\mathbf{A} = (A_{i,j})$ in the basis $(|i\rangle)$. By definition $\mathbf{A} |j\rangle = \sum_i A_{i,j} |i\rangle$. Notice:

$$\langle j | \mathbf{A} | j \rangle = \langle j | \left(\sum_i A_{i,j} |i\rangle \right) = \sum_i A_{i,j} \langle j | i \rangle = A_{j,j}$$

where in the last equality we used the orthonormality. To conclude: $\text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$ independent of the basis in which \mathbf{A} is written

Proposition:

For any unitary $|\psi\rangle$,

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{A}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) = \langle\psi|\mathbf{A}|\psi\rangle$$

Proof: as usual, use a well chosen orthonormal basis

As $|\psi\rangle$ is unitary, let $(|i\rangle)$ be an orthonormal basis such that its first element is $|\psi\rangle$. Therefore

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{A}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) = \sum_i \langle i | (\mathbf{A}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) | i \rangle = \sum_i \langle i | \mathbf{A} |\psi\rangle \langle\psi | i \rangle = \langle\psi | \mathbf{A} |\psi\rangle$$

where in the last inequality we used that $\langle\psi | i \rangle = 0$ as soon as $|\psi\rangle \neq |i\rangle$ and $\langle\psi | \psi\rangle = 1$

→ You can also prove this theorem with the vector notation (we are in finite dimension)

- ▶ **Positive A Hermitian** such that $\forall |v\rangle \neq 0, \langle v|A|v\rangle \geq 0 \iff A \text{ Hermitian} + \text{Eigenvalues} \geq 0$
- ▶ **Unitary: U** such that $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = \text{Id} \iff \forall |v\rangle, |w\rangle: \langle U|w\rangle, U|v\rangle\rangle = \langle w|U^\dagger U|v\rangle = \langle w|v\rangle$
 —→ An operator **U** is unitary if and only if it preserves the scalar product between vectors
- ▶ **Orthogonal projector**: let $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^N$ subspace of dimension K and $(|1\rangle, \dots, |K\rangle)$ be an orthonormal basis such that $(|1\rangle, \dots, |N\rangle)$ orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^N

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^K |i\rangle\langle i| \text{ is an orthogonal projector onto } V$$

Reciprocally, given **P** orthogonal projector, if $(|i\rangle)$ orthonormal basis of $\text{Im}(P)$, then

$$P = \sum_i |i\rangle\langle i|$$

POSTULATES OF QUANTUM MECHANICS

Postulate 1: State Space

Associated to any isolated physical system is an **Hilbert space** known as the state space of the system. The system is completely described by its state vectors, which are **unit vectors** in the system's state space

- ▶ Our considered Hilbert spaces will be often \mathbb{C}^{2^n} for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (n register qubits)
- ▶ Be careful, state vector/quantum states $|\psi\rangle$ are such that $\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = 1$

During this course: we will mainly consider the qubit space \mathbb{C}^2

Computational basis for qubits:

$$|0\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad |1\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

A qubit:

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle \quad \text{where } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$$

Postulate 2: Evolution

The evolution of a **closed** quantum system is described by a **unitary operator**

The following operators over qubits are all unitaries:

$$\sigma_1 = \sigma_x = \mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_2 = \sigma_y = \mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \sigma_z = \mathbf{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

→ They will be fundamental for quantum computing/information theory!

Postulate 3: Quantum measurement

Quantum measurements are described by a collection $(\mathbf{M}_m)_m$ of **measurement operators** which are operators acting on the state space with the following rules and relation,

- ▶ m : **measurement outcome** that may occur during the experiment
- ▶ Given $|\psi\rangle$, **the probability to measure m** is

$$p(m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \psi | \mathbf{M}_m^\dagger \mathbf{M}_m | \psi \rangle = \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{M}_m^\dagger \mathbf{M}_m | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | \right)$$

- ▶ Given $|\psi\rangle$, **after measuring m** , $|\psi\rangle$ becomes

$$\frac{\mathbf{M}_m | \psi \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \psi | \mathbf{M}_m^\dagger \mathbf{M}_m | \psi \rangle}} = \frac{\mathbf{M}_m | \psi \rangle}{\sqrt{\text{tr} \left(\mathbf{M}_m^\dagger \mathbf{M}_m | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | \right)}}$$

- ▶ **Completeness relation**

$$\sum_m \mathbf{M}_m^\dagger \mathbf{M}_m = \text{Id}$$

The completeness relations ensures that:

$$1 = \sum_m p(m) = \sum_m \langle \psi | \mathbf{M}_m^\dagger \mathbf{M}_m | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \left(\sum_m \mathbf{M}_m^\dagger \mathbf{M}_m \right) | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \psi \rangle$$

A FIRST EXAMPLE: MEASURING IN THE COMPUTATIONAL BASIS

We have seen during Lecture 1:

$$\text{Measuring in the basis } (|0\rangle, |1\rangle) : |\psi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{measure}} \begin{cases} |0\rangle & \text{with probability } |\alpha|^2 \\ |1\rangle & \text{with probability } |\beta|^2 \end{cases}$$

With the measurement formalism:

$$\mathbf{M}_0 = |0\rangle\langle 0| \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{M}_1 = |1\rangle\langle 1|$$

Probability to measure:

$$\blacktriangleright 0: \quad p(0) = \langle \psi | \mathbf{M}_0^\dagger \mathbf{M}_0 | \psi \rangle = \bar{\alpha} \alpha = |\alpha|^2$$

$$\blacktriangleright 1: \quad p(1) = \langle \psi | \mathbf{M}_1^\dagger \mathbf{M}_1 | \psi \rangle = \bar{\beta} \beta = |\beta|^2$$

After measuring:

$$\blacktriangleright 0: \quad \frac{\mathbf{M}_0 |\psi\rangle}{|\alpha|} = \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|} |0\rangle$$

$$\blacktriangleright 1: \quad \frac{\mathbf{M}_1 |\psi\rangle}{|\beta|} = \frac{\beta}{|\beta|} |1\rangle$$

→ More rigorous but many times useless (unnecessarily complicated) when studying quantum algorithms!

Projective measurement:

Observable \mathbf{M} : Hermitian operator which has the spectral decomposition

$$\sum_m m \mathbf{P}_m$$

where \mathbf{P}_m orthogonal projection onto the eigenspace of \mathbf{M} with eigenvalue m

$(\mathbf{P}_m)_m$ defines the associated quantum measurement to \mathbf{M} . In particular, the possible outcomes correspond to the eigenvalues m

Proposition (exercise):

Given an observable \mathbf{M} , then $(\mathbf{P}_m)_m$ defines a measurement. In particular (by using that

$\mathbf{P}_m^\dagger \mathbf{P}_m = \mathbf{P}_m$), given the quantum state $|\psi\rangle$

- ▶ probability to measure m : $p(m) = \langle \psi | \mathbf{P}_m | \psi \rangle = \text{tr}(\mathbf{P}_m |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$
- ▶ given that m occurred, $|\psi\rangle$ becomes:

$$\frac{\mathbf{P}_m |\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \psi | \mathbf{P}_m | \psi \rangle}} = \frac{\mathbf{P}_m |\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\text{tr}(\mathbf{P}_m |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)}}$$

OBSERVABLE: AVERAGE OUTCOME AND STANDARD DEVIATION

Given $|\psi\rangle$ what is the average outcome when given the observable \mathbf{M} ?

Proposition:

Given $|\psi\rangle$, the average outcome for the observable \mathbf{M} is given by,

$$\langle \mathbf{M} \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \psi | \mathbf{M} | \psi \rangle$$

Proof:

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{M}) = \sum_m m p(m) = \sum_m m \langle \psi | \mathbf{P}_m | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \left(\sum_m m \mathbf{P}_m \right) | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \mathbf{M} | \psi \rangle = \langle \mathbf{M} \rangle$$

Given $|\psi\rangle$ what is the typical spread of the observed values upon measurement of \mathbf{M} ?

Standard deviation of the outcomes for the measurable \mathbf{M} given $|\psi\rangle$:

$$\Delta(\mathbf{M}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{M}^2 \rangle - \langle \mathbf{M} \rangle^2}$$

During the Exercise Session we will prove:

Measurements \iff Projective measurements

For now:

If we can perform quantum measurements, then we can perform projective measurements. The reciprocal is not clear

$$\left(\left\{ \text{projective measurements} \right\} \subseteq \left\{ \text{quantum measurements} \right\} \right)$$

Quantum measurement:

- ▶ Distribution of the outcomes
- ▶ Rules describing the post-measurement quantum state

What happens if we only care of the distribution of the outcomes or if we don't care of the post-measurement quantum states?

→ **Positive Operator-Valued Measure (POVM)** formalism!

POVM:

Any set of operators $(\mathbf{E}_m)_m$ be such that

1. $\forall m, \mathbf{E}_m$ is **positive** (\iff Hermitian with eigenvalues ≥ 0)
2. Completeness relation: $\sum_m \mathbf{E}_m = \text{Id}$
3. Given $|\psi\rangle$: $p(m) = \langle \psi | \mathbf{E}_m | \psi \rangle$ is the probability to measure m

Proposition:

For any POVM there exists an associated quantum measurement and reciprocally

Proof:

- ▶ Let $(\mathbf{E}_m)_m$ be a POVM. Define $\mathbf{M}_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{\mathbf{E}_m}$ (\mathbf{E}_m positive). Then $\sum_m \mathbf{M}_m^\dagger \mathbf{M}_m = \sum_m \mathbf{E}_m = \text{Id}$
- ▶ Let $(\mathbf{M}_m)_m$ be a quantum measurement. Define $\mathbf{E}_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{M}_m^\dagger \mathbf{M}_m$. It is a positive operator that satisfies the completeness relation

DISTINGUISHING QUANTUM STATES

Let's play **together** to the following game:

1. Let $\{ |\psi_1\rangle, \dots, |\psi_M\rangle \}$ be a set of quantum states that **we** know
2. **I** choose one state, let's say $|\psi_i\rangle$ and **I** give it to **you**
3. **Your** goal is to recover i and **you** have the right to use your favourite measurement

There are three types of measurement:

- ▶ Find each time the right answer with probability one 1 (the best expected measurement)
- ▶ Never make mistake but sometimes answer "I don't know" (**unambiguous measurement**)
- ▶ Can make mistakes (**ambiguous measurement**)

→ Sometimes the best expected measurement cannot exist. . .

We don't require the proposed measurement to be **efficiently computable**!

Orthogonal quantum states $\{ |\psi_1\rangle, \dots, |\psi_M\rangle \}$ can be easily distinguished!

→ Define the projective measurements $P_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$ and $P_0 = \text{Id} - \sum_{i \neq 0} P_i$

Theorem:

No quantum measurement are capable of distinguishing non-orthogonal states

Exercise: during Exercise Session

1. Prove the theorem
2. Give a POVM (E_1, E_2, E_3) that never makes error to distinguish the following quantum states:

$$|\psi_1\rangle = |0\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |\psi_2\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = |+\rangle$$

Two papers about this topic (to be presented at the end of the course):

- ▶ *Optimum Unambiguous Discrimination Between Linearly Independent Symmetric States*, A. Chefles and S. M. Barnett.

<https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/9807023>

- ▶ *On the distinguishability of random quantum states*, A. Montanaro

<https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0607011>

Given a quantum state $|\psi\rangle$, then $e^{i\theta} |\psi\rangle$ is also a quantum state

→ $e^{i\theta} |\psi\rangle$ is said to be equal to $|\psi\rangle$ up to the global phase θ

In quantum computation, two states equal up to some global phase can be considered as equal!

The reason:

For any measurement \mathbf{M}_m :

$$\langle \psi | \mathbf{M}_m^\dagger \mathbf{M}_m | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | e^{-i\theta} \mathbf{M}_m^\dagger \mathbf{M}_m e^{i\theta} | \psi \rangle$$

→ Both quantum states have the same statistics of measurement!

Postulate 4: Composite system

The state space of a composite physical system is the tensor product of the state spaces of the component physical systems

Furthermore, if we have systems numbered 1 through n , and system number i is prepared in the state $|\psi_i\rangle$, then the joint state of the total system is $|\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\psi_n\rangle$

→ The state space of a composite system:

$$\text{Span}\left(|\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\psi_n\rangle : |\psi_i\rangle \text{ 's states}\right) = \left\{ \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_n} |\psi_{i_1}\rangle \otimes |\psi_{i_2}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\psi_{i_n}\rangle \right\}$$

Be careful:

- $\left(|\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\psi_n\rangle\right)^\dagger = \langle\psi_1| \otimes \langle\psi_2| \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle\psi_n|$ (**do not reverse the order**)
- It exists quantum states **that cannot be written as** $|\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\psi_n\rangle$

Scalar product for composite system:

Let $|\psi\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\psi_n\rangle$ and $|\varphi\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\varphi_1\rangle \otimes |\varphi_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\varphi_n\rangle$. We have,

$$\langle \psi | \varphi \rangle = \langle \psi_1 | \varphi_1 \rangle \cdot \langle \psi_2 | \varphi_2 \rangle \cdots \langle \psi_n | \varphi_n \rangle$$

→ In particular: if $|\psi_j\rangle \perp |\varphi_j\rangle$ for **at least one** j , then $|\psi\rangle \perp |\varphi\rangle$

A PARTICULAR CASE: n QUBITS SPACE

As we have seen during Lecture 1:

- ▶ A **qubit** $|\psi\rangle$ is an element of \mathbb{C}^2 with Hermitian norm 1
- ▶ A **register of n qubits** $|\psi\rangle$ is an element of $\underbrace{\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^2}_{n \text{ times}} = \mathbb{C}^{2^n}$ with Hermitian norm 1

Let $(|0\rangle, |1\rangle)$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^2 . Then,

$$(|b_1\rangle \otimes |b_2\rangle \otimes \dots \otimes |b_n\rangle) : b_1, \dots, b_n \in \{0, 1\}$$

is an orthonormal basis of $\underbrace{\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^2}_{n \text{ times}} = \mathbb{C}^{2^n}$

- ▶ Notation: for $\mathbf{b} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$,

$$|\mathbf{b}\rangle = |b_1 b_2 \dots b_n\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |b_1\rangle \otimes |b_2\rangle \otimes \dots \otimes |b_n\rangle$$

Separable versus entangled states:

A n -qubit system $|\psi\rangle$ that can be decomposed as $|\psi\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle$ is called **separable**

When there is no such decomposition, the state is called **entangled**

Example:

1. Separable states

$$|00\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} (|00\rangle + |01\rangle + |10\rangle + |11\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$$

2. Entangled state

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$$

→ **Entangled states play a crucial role** in quantum computation/information
(teleportation, quantum cryptography, etc. . .)

Operators over composite systems:

Given A_1, \dots, A_n , the operator $A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n$ over the composite system is defined as:

$$A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n |\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle \otimes \dots \otimes |\psi_n\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_1 |\psi_1\rangle \otimes A_2 |\psi_2\rangle \otimes \dots \otimes A_n |\psi_n\rangle$$

→ The set of operators over a composite system is

$$\text{Span}(A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n : A_i\text{'s operators}) = \left\{ \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_n} A_{i_1} \otimes A_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes A_{i_n} \right\}$$

Be careful:

- $(A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n)^\dagger = A_1^\dagger \otimes A_2^\dagger \otimes \dots \otimes A_n^\dagger$ (do not reverse the order)
- It exists operators **that cannot be written as**: $A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n$

Products of operators:

Let $A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n$ and $B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} B_1 \otimes B_2 \otimes \dots \otimes B_n$. We have,

$$AB = A_1 B_1 \otimes A_2 B_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n B_n$$

AN APPLICATION: TELEPORTATION

Aim:

Alice has a state $|\psi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$ that she does not know (i.e. α and β are unknown)

→ Alice's goal: send $|\psi\rangle$ to her friend Bob!

How to proceed?

→ Little crooks: a "quantum" channel is not allowed!

Aim:

Alice has a state $|\psi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$ that she does not know (i.e. α and β are unknown)

→ Alice's goal: send $|\psi\rangle$ to her friend Bob!

How to proceed?

→ Little crooks: a “quantum” channel is not allowed!

Achievable:

1. Alice can send **only two bits** (“classical” information) to Bob
2. Alice and Bob **previously shared an EPR-pair**

→ Entanglement offers a huge power. . .

TELEPORTATION: THE PROTOCOL (1)

Alice and Bob have shared an EPR-pair: $\frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$; first qubit to Alice, second qubit to Bob

Alice has access to the first two qubits of:

$$|\psi\rangle \otimes \left(\frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = (\alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle) \otimes \left(\frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

1. Alice sends her qubits through the CNOT-gate ($|b\rangle |b'\rangle \mapsto |b\rangle |b' + b\rangle$), the state becomes:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\alpha |0\rangle (|00\rangle + |11\rangle) + \beta |1\rangle (|10\rangle + |01\rangle))$$

2. Alice send her first qubit trough the Hadamard gate **H**, the state becomes:

$$\frac{1}{2} (\alpha (|0\rangle + |1\rangle) (|00\rangle + |11\rangle) + \beta (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) (|10\rangle + |01\rangle))$$

Well, what to do next?

TELEPORTATION: THE PROTOCOL (II)

Up to now, the quantum state is (Alice owes the first two qubits):

$$\frac{1}{2} (\alpha (|0\rangle + |1\rangle) (|00\rangle + |11\rangle) + \beta (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) (|10\rangle + |01\rangle))$$

which is equal to:

$$\frac{1}{2} (|00\rangle \otimes (\alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle) + |10\rangle \otimes (\alpha |0\rangle - \beta |1\rangle) + |01\rangle \otimes (\alpha |1\rangle + \beta |0\rangle) + |11\rangle \otimes (\alpha |1\rangle - \beta |0\rangle))$$

Alice measures the first two qubits (in the basis $(|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle)$) and **Bob's quantum state becomes:**

$$00 \longrightarrow \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$$

$$10 \longrightarrow \alpha |0\rangle - \beta |1\rangle$$

$$01 \longrightarrow \alpha |1\rangle + \beta |0\rangle$$

$$11 \longrightarrow \alpha |1\rangle - \beta |0\rangle$$

To achieve the teleportation:

1. Alice sends to Bob her measurement: $bb' \in \{0, 1\}^2$
2. Bob applies $Z^b X^{b'}$ (for instance: $Z^1 X^1 (\alpha |1\rangle - \beta |0\rangle) = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$)

Suppose that Alice has measured 00

→ Bob has instantaneously the quantum state $\alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$

It seems that Alice has sent $|\psi\rangle$ to Bob faster than light. . .

Suppose that Alice has measured 00

→ Bob has instantaneously the quantum state $\alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$

It seems that Alice has sent $|\psi\rangle$ to Bob faster than light. . .

The answer **is no**:

- ▶ Intuitively: Bob needs to know Alice's measurement to recover $|\psi\rangle$, otherwise there is no information about $|\psi\rangle$ in his qubit
- ▶ Rigorously: **come at Lecture 3!**

SUPERDENSE CODING

Alice wishes to send classical bits to Bob

Alice is allowed to use a quantum channel, i.e., to send qubits to Bob

The goal is dual to teleportation!

Aim:

Alice has two bits $bb' \in \{0, 1\}^2$ and her goal is to send them to her friend Bob!

→ A quantum channel is allowed but not a classical one!

Achievable:

1. Alice can send a qubit (“quantum” information) to Bob
2. Alice and Bob **previously shared an EPR-pair**

Alice and Bob have shared an EPR-pair $\frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$: first qubit to Alice, second qubit to Bob

1. Alice applies, on her qubit, one of the following unitary according to $bb' \in \{0, 1\}^2$ that she wants to send,

$$00 \longrightarrow \text{nothing}$$

$$10 \longrightarrow X$$

$$01 \longrightarrow Z$$

$$11 \longrightarrow iY$$

2. Alice sends her qubit to Bob which gets one of the following qubits,

$$00 \longrightarrow \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$10 \longrightarrow \frac{|10\rangle + |01\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$01 \longrightarrow \frac{|00\rangle - |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$11 \longrightarrow \frac{|01\rangle - |10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

These four quantum states (known as **Bell states**) are orthonormal: Bob can perfectly distinguish them to recover the bits Alice wanted to send

EXERCISE SESSION
